

1 Patricia M. Erickson, Esq.
Nevada Bar 3506
2 601 South Tenth St., Suite 206
Las Vegas, NV 89101
3 702.388.1055
Counsel for Plaintiff:
4 FRED STITES

5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

7 FRED STITES,
8 Plaintiff,
9 v.

10 GLEN WHORTON, E.K. McDANIEL,
ADAM ENDEL, BILL DONAT, MR.
11 DRAIN, MS. MATLOCK, and
DOES 1 THRU 50.
12 Defendants.
13

Case No. 3:06-cv-00092-LRH(VPC)

**STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME TO
FILE PETITIONER'S OPPOSITION TO
MOTION TO DISMISS, SCHEDULING
DEFENDANTS' REPLY TO
OPPOSITION and ORDER**

14 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Plaintiff, FRED
15 STITES, by and through his counsel, Patricia M. Erickson and Defendants
16 WHORTON, McDANIEL, ENDEL, DONAT, DRAIN, and MATLOCK, by and through
17 their counsel, George J. Chanos, Attorney General, by and through Patrick
18 McGinnis, Deputy Attorney General, that:

- 19 A. Plaintiff STITES has no objection to the joinder of Defendants
20 McDANIEL, ENDEL, DRAIN and MATLOCK to the previously filed
21 Motion To Dismiss;
- 22 B. The time for filing Plaintiff's Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss
23 shall be extended and the Opposition shall be filed on or before
24 June 29, 2006; and,
- 25 C. Defendants' Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to the Motion to
26 Dismiss shall be filed on or before July 31, 2006.

27 ///


28 ///


1 1. This Stipulation is entered into in good faith.

2 2. This Stipulation is brought in the interests of justice and not for
3 purposes of delay.

4 DATED this 23rd day of May, 2006.

5 GEORGE J. CHANOS
6 Attorney General

7
8 
9 Patricia M. Erickson
10 Nevada Bar No. 3506
11 601 South Tenth St., Suite 206
12 Las Vegas, NV 89101
13 (702) 388-1055

14 
15 Patrick McGinnis
16 Deputy Attorney General
17 Litigation Division
18 100 No. Carson St.
19 Carson City, NV 89701
20 (775) 684-1256


21 **ORDER**

22 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Defendants' Motion For Joinder (docket #16)
23 is granted.

24 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff STITES shall have to and including
25 June 29, 2006 within which to file his Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss.

26 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Defendants' shall have to and including July
27 31, 2006 within which to file their Reply to Plaintiff STITES' Opposition to the
28 Motion to Dismiss.

 DATED: May 30, 2006.

29 
30 LARRY R. HICKS
31 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE